P22

Pol Dept no 1065-24
" " 709-25

App. F. IX-4

1925.

File No. 39-25

Previous References (Police)

Pol 177-17 (1-2) ✓
" 146-23 (1-2)
" 176-22 (1-2)

Serial Nos. 1—11

Later References

PR 176-28, 1-2
Pol 208-28 (1&2)
" 247-30-1&2
" 147-40 (1-4)
" 95-49 (1-2)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. Eleven.

Part B for July 1927.

SUBJECT

Remission of fine Salimkhana Mulla.

ಪ್ರ.ರಾ.ಇ.ಪ 547-57 ದಿ 21-7-27

To the Inspr General of Police
" Director of Municipal Administration
" Presidency of Bangalore & Mysore
City Municipalities

Passed Orders as in above

Manager and Secretary
11. 8 1927

G. R. S. III—11 1925-26

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Police.)

NOTES.

File No. 39-25

FILE HEADING.

Revision of the Tadimalkhand Rules.

From

No.	Dated	Received

Diary No.	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

1. Hon'ble Member's order in Police file 149 of 1926.

2. I think that a time limit should be taken from the date of the Tadimalkhand Rules.

NOTES—First Sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Police File No. 39 766/1925

Serial No.

) A circular letter may be addressed to all the Deputy Commrs calling for info on the following points with their opinions as to whether any modification is necessary in the Talimkhana rules now in force.

1. Who are the officers who are empowered to issue licenses for Talimkhanas in the Districts.
2. If the Register prescribed in the Talimkhana Rules is maintained by this officer
3. What are the towns or other places in which licensed Talimkhanas exist & the no. of them in each place.

Q 4. What is the total amount received from license fees of Talimkhanas in the District during each of the past 3 years.

3) Draft Sd. — 4) Issue

SBP 3/3 7-9

1 To

The Dy Comrs of Dts
Nos 1552-9 dy 15-9-25.

Requests the submission of a report with their opinion re the working of the Talimkhana Rules in force.

Reminder 16/9

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

1464/P

3¹

General and Revenue Secretariat.

PR File No. 3 9 of 1925

Serial No 2

From

The Dy Comr, Mysore Dt.

No D2 Dis 160/25-26 dt 23.9.25

Furnishes information on all the particulars re the working of the Talimkhana rules & states that no change is necessary in the existing rules.

5) Reports from others will be awaited.

6) In the file re exemption from payment of license fees in favour of certain petties of Talimkhanas, the I Member has enquired if replies to the circular in that file have been received.

7) This may perhaps be submitted for the Members perusal.

8) Submitted for perusal. Reports from other Districts will be submitted after receipt.

Dy Secy 1.10.25

9) For perusal

Dy Secy - 30.9.25 SA 1.10

35/9-10

10) What is the annual license fee payable for Talimkhanas?

From the statement furnished by the Dc, it would appear that no fee is now received in this behalf.

2/10 icy 3/10

PR 4/10

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Serial No

615
29.10

1) A fee of Rs 10 has to be paid at the time of first registration and the license has to be renewed at the end of 12 months on payment of a fee of Rs 10 (Vide Page 131 of Rules and Notifications under Enactments. Vol I)

1st Member)

Cag 28/10

2) The amount of fee received in each year in the region of Talmiki Taluk is not important to be noted. How it has to be explained. Call for a report on the points from the DTA of region.

for 2/11

C 1/11 SI 2/11

Cag 2/11

3) Third attention is invited to the last para of DC's letter in which he says that the rules are being enforced since 1924-25. The low fee realisation in 1924-25 may be due to want of enforcement the rules not having been enforced.

4) Perhaps a full year has not yet been in the case of some of the Talmikanam since the date of the last license & hence the low sum of Rs 140/- realised so far. In this view

Serial No

necessary. Replies from
other DCs may be awaited
DSW
3/11
after 3/11
In Anyway, information may
be called for from the District magistrate
cag
3/11

PSR 4/11

3 In Appl. Fwd - Issue cag
DSW
5/11
after 5/11
Issued NO 23638) 7/11 5/11
The Dy Magte Mysore
Requests to furnish Certain information

4 From the DM Mysore DC No Dis 287.
dt. 12.11.25. encl Memo the difference
in the amount collected as
compared with the number of
Talwarkhanas existing.

In Fwd for perusal (Ref: Same
12 as members orders
DSW
13.11.
after 13/11
Issued)

497
20.11

In The DC's letter may be
perused and the observations there
in it is unsatisfactory that proper
information was not being sent to the
Head Quarters of the Talwarkhana Police each year

cag
13/11
SD
19.11
cag
19.11

Since the reports
for the Dist DCs not been
rec'd. Dy Secy to Govt may
issue a timely reminder
Submitted Cag
DSW 24/11

PSR 24/11

Note—Contn. Sheet

Serial No	
	20) Draft G.O. & Subd. After issue reminders will be sent to the D.Ms of other districts.
	21) Meanwhile draft reminder to D.Ms also put up 22) Draft reminder is also put up 23) Issue SBR 25/11 Issued 2836-42 cs 7/12/25
	24) There is another draft for order — both DM, Mysore DM 26/11 25) Put up for issue cs 28/11
5	To The Dt Magte Mysore Dt No P 2835 Dt 7-12-25. Record with Certain Observations.
6	From the D.M. Kadur No. Jb 35/25-26 dt 10.12.25 States that there are no Taluk-Khanas in his District.
	26) Subd. for perusal. Replies from other D.M.'s may be awaited 27) yes. SBR 11/12 DM 11/12 cs 11.1
7	From the D.M. Shimoga No. Jb 142/25-26 dt 12.12.25 States that the Taluk Khana rules have not been extended to his Dist. & that the Supdt of Police recommends their extension to certain towns & that the fee may be reduced to Rs 2/-
8	From the D.M. Hassan No. Jt-147-25 dt 12.12.25 States that the Taluk Khanas rules of 1918 were not given

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Serial No

effect to in his Dist Dr under the rules are worked for some time he feels unable to given any opinion

28/ Subd for perusal.

Replies from the others may be awaited. Yes 29/12

SLR 15/12.

18/12

18/12

From the D.M. Kolar.

No. Dr Dis 114/25-26 d/ 18.12.25

States that there are no licensed Toddy shops in this Dist & the rules have not been made applicable to the District

Subd for perusal.

Replies from the Districts of Bangalore, Tumkur and Chitaldrug may be awaited.

SLR 31) Yes 29/12

19/12

From the D.M. Tumkur

No. Dr Dis 191/25-26 d/ 23.12.25

States that there are 5 Toddy shops in the Dist— 4 in Tumkur & 1 in Nandagiri & that the rules for licensing them are not in force in the District—

From the D.M. Chitaldrug

No. 69 Rs 20-21 d/ 23.12.25

States that the rules are in force only in Davangere where there were 6

Serial No

Talimkhanas & that they were closed by the Police in June 1925 Since then were not duly licensed

32 The D.M. proposes that the fee for renewal of licenses may be fixed at Rs 5/- per annum.

33 Submd. for perusal

Reply from Baringo may be awaited. — Yes. as 34 30/1

SCR 29/1/26

35 A draft reminder to the Dist. Magte Baringo is sent.

19/1/26 SM 19/1

36 Sent. E 30/1

Issued 3593 D 22/1/26.

Letter No. Je 675/25. 26 of 7. 4. 26 from the D.M. Baringo furnishing the report ofhis desk.

37

Read. Information from all district headmen received, and the same are not complete and to hand fully, except those relating to Kipsaneiki, which have been received & recorded with certain observ

Note—Contn. Sheet

Sec A 31/12

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 39 of 192 .

Serial No

The D. M. Kadur States that no Toddy exists in his Distt.

The D. M. Shimoga says that the rules have not been extended to his Distt. & Suggests that in places they may be extended on a renewal fee of Rs 2/- p.q.

Vide Page B.

The Rules have been extended to Hassan, Sakleshpur, Arsikere, Konanur, Channarayapatna, Sravanabelgola, Belur & Holenarasipur

The Dy. Kasaragod states that the rules have not been given effect to in his Distt. > that the D.S.P is now instructed to give effect to the Rules

The Dy. Kolar says that the existing conditions do not justify the State Regulation of Toddy in shops and that there are no licensed Toddies in his Distt.

The Dy. Tumkur mentions that the rules are not in force in his Distt. but that there are 4 Toddies in Tumkur & 1 at Madhugiri.

The Dy. Chitaldrug states that the rules are in force only at Davangere, that no liquor shops are maintained & no fees received. Suggests that the fee of Rs 10/- be reduced to Rs 5/-.

The Dy. Bangalore says that the rules are extended to all the Taluks & the City of Bangalore & that no fees are received, & that there are no licensed Toddies in the Distt. Heads that instructions are now issued to see that Toddy shops are not run without a licence

38 From the above it is not known on what lines a revision of the rules can be made - except, perhaps a

NOTE— Contn. Sheet

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 38 of 192 .

Serial No

reduction in the fee. No D.C. has suggested a revision of the rules but they have simply stated the existing state of things. Under the present rules are defects or inconveniences in their working & what difficulties are experienced or complained of by the public have not been mentioned.

39 It may be that there are no licensed talis, but it does not mean that there are no distilleries of this kind at all. In every important village & in Taluk Hd Qrs Stations there used to be talis of Garadis - more than one in number with perhaps [illegible] opinion prevailing there leading to disturbances of peace, and they could not have all disappeared.

Perhaps a list of all licensed & unlicensed talis may be collected from the D.C.'s and licenses to continue the same granted as easy terms with a lower fee if necessary & watch their working for some time & revision of the rules undertaken sometime later, after ascertaining the experiences of their working.

Madras
16/4/26

Submitted with the

NOTE— Contn. Sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat | OR File No. 39 of 1925.

Serial No 42

Police file 177-17 containing the amended Talimkhana Rules. A list of the places to which the Rules have been extended is given in the foot note to the Rules.

43) With reference to the points on which information was called for under the orders of the I Member, the particulars furnished may be summarised as follows:-

1. Who are the Officers who are empowered to issue licences for Talimkhanas in the DCs?

Under the Talimkhana Rules it is the (Chitaldrug, Kadur & the D Mysore) DM that can issue licenses. The D M Mysore has stated that no other Officer is empowered to issue them. The DCs of Bangalore, Hassan & Kadur & Chitaldrug into whose DCs the Rules have been extended have not said anything on the point.

2. Whether the Register prescribed in the Rules is maintained by the Officer?

The D M Mysore has stated that a Register is maintained. The other DCs have not furnished the information; the D.M. Chitaldrug has however stated that no register seems to have been opened as the Talimkhanas at Davangere were closed, the parties having declined to pay the annual fees.

3. What are the towns or other places in which licensed Talimkhanas exist and the no. in each

Mysore DC. Nanjangud TK. 1
Chamarajnagar TK. 1
Mysore Taluk. 2
Mysore City. 41

The D M Kadur states that there are no Talimkhanas in his District. The DC Hassan states that the rules

Para 1 of these notes

NOTE— Contn. Sheet

Serial No

have not been given effect to yet, under Chitaldrug that the Talims at Davangere have been closed with Dt Bangalore that none of the Talimkhanas in places where they exist are licensed, except one in the Bangalore Dt, the proprietor of which holds a licence dt 11-6-1901.

The Talimkhana Rules have not been extended to the other Dts.

4. What is the total amount received from license fees during each of the past 3 years in the Dts?

Mysore Dt.	1922-23.	Nil	
	1923-24.	Rs 10.	
	1924-25.	" 320	
	1925-26 (upto 28/9/25)	" 140	(exclusive of Rs 60 for other Talims to be newly licensed)
Other Dts	. Nil.		

5. Whether any modification is necessary in the existing Rules.

D.C. Mysore. No revision is called for

" Shimoga. No revision is necessary, except reduction of fees from Rs 10/- to Rs 2/-

" Hassan. Unable to give any opinion, as the Rules have not been worked in this Dt

" Chitaldrug. Fee may be reduced – in cases of renewal – according to importance of each place & may be fixed at Rs 5/- for Davangere

" Kolar. Considers that the rules themselves are unnecessary & that the State Regulation of these institutions is not justified.

Other D.C.s. No opinion furnished.

44 One other point which may be considered in connection with the revision of the Rules is to provide for exemption in the Rules in respect of certain Talimkhanas viz those providing wrestlers for the Palace during Dasara; vide office notes in Police Deposit file 209-25. The Dy of Police

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Serial No

1

is however not in favor of the grant of exemptions in these cases: vide his letter no D2637/25 dt 12.9.25.

Submitted for orders.

Asst 29/4

45 The D.C. of Police is not in favour of change of the present rules.

46 Most of the D.C's are also not in favour of changing the rules.

47) The papers may be filed.

3rd member) 11/5

OFFICE OF THE THIRD MEMBER OF COUNCIL. 12 MAY 1927 No. 3166

48 The present rules do not seem to be enforced at all. It seems desirable to extend the rule to all D.T. & T.K. (if not so done already) & to reduce the license fees - say to Rs 5 in Bangalore & Mysore cities, 3 Rs to other D.T. & T.K. places like Davangere, Chennapatna, Tiptur &c & 2 Rs to all other places.

49 The matter may perhaps be discussed at the next meeting with D.C's &c.

CSS 12/5/27

SA 12/5.

50 May this be sent to the Rev Branch for note & return?

Asst 12/5

SS 12/5

SS 13/5

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

App. F. III—2]

8072 — 3970-25

Central and Revenue Secretariat.

Pol File No. 39 of 192 5-P

LLR

Serial No.	
	51 Noted & returned.
	52) Subd for perusal. Approved A/ a note for placing the subject before the DC's meeting ≠ will be prepared & subd
	53) The meeting is fixed for 12th June – 8 Am. The note put up is for orders.
	54) Done
	55) The file itself will, if approved, be sent to the Revenue Branch, for taking the note. The file may also be required by them for reference

Rev Br

Collr

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—5,000—30-3-27

5763/26 16

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Draing no 5763/26

Resolution with the Conference
Jobs about which was at the
Sunday Session 2 June 1927

57) The resolution states that the
Tahsildhars Rules are not
observed properly any where,
that the fees may be revised
if necessary & the establishment
there in this has increased
the rules may be enforced

58) Letters connected with
attention is invited to para 69
these proceedings with standard
forms & rules & circulated with
letter & also Register of the number
may be prescribed & also

28/6 59) Submitted for orders.

A draft go. as per para
46, if unit, if approved, be
put up.

3rd Member) 2/7

OFFICE OF THE
THIRD MEMBER OF COUNCIL.
2 JULY 1927
No. 63

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for **permanent record.**]

---

draft print.

Please keep the references in the Go drafts & returns

Reference slip.

Please type the draft

Typed draft with pencil.

draft Sub^d for consideration.

draft Sub^d for consideration.

3rd member) 14/7

OFFICE OF THE THIRD MEMBER OF COUNCIL. 14 JULY 1927 No. 227

Approved.

Please submit to Dewan

Dewan.

DEWAN'S OFFICE. Received on 16. JUL. 1927 Reg. No. 571

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

Sanctioned.

Orders are solicited as to the printing etc of the Go. (Vide margin in the draft Go)

Noted for issue of Go.

D 688/1927 5763/26 18

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 39 of 1927

Serial No. 11

To The D. G. of Police
The Dt magistrates of Dts
The Dists of Bangalore & Mysore
City Municipalities.

ROP 527-579 21-7-27.

Directs that the license fees may be reduced from Rs 106 Rs 57- in Blore and Mysore cities to Rs 37- in Dt Hdqrs & other important places like Davangere Chennapatna & Tiptur and Rs 24- in all other places and that the rules be extended to all Dt and Taluk Head quarters etc.

To the Rev Branch for note & return, as the subject was canvassed at the last meeting of Hon'ble Depts Secs

Dte 18

Withdrawn & returned.

Rev. 1-8-27

18

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

App.F.VI-G1.]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section Police.

File No. 39-25

Serial No. 1

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No. 15529

To The Deputy Commissioners of Districts.

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Police)

, the 192

Sir,

I am directed to ~~enquire whether any modification is necessary in the Talimkhana Rules now in force~~ to request that ~~favour me with your opinion~~ information on the following points may be furnished ~~for the information of Government~~ with your opinion:—

Date of issue 15/9/25

Signed by W. Peters.

requesting officials furnish information on the following points regarding the working of the Talimkhana Rules now in force, along with your opinion as to whether any modification is necessary in the said rules:—

List of enclosures .....

1. Who are the officers who are empowered to issue licenses for [illegible]

Copied by [illegible] 15/9

Examined by .....

over

the Districts?

Of the Register prescribed in the Tadimkhana Rules is maintained by this officer?

3. What are the towns or other places in which licensed Tadimkhanas exist & the no. of them in each place?

4. What is the total amount received from license fees of Tadimkhanas in the District during each of the past 3 years

I have &c

for L Tidbery

Dy

14562

1444 of 25

LOCAL AND LEGISLATIVE SECRETARIAT
No. D 2 Dis 160 25-167
28 SEP 1925
PROTECTION BRANCH

Office of the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District,

Dated Mysore, 23rd September 1925.

price 39-2522

FROM

A.V. Ramanathan, Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District,
Mysore.

GENL. & REV. SECTT.
17 SEP. 1925
II SECTION.

To

B. Nagappa, Esq., Bar-at-Law,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.
Local and Legislative Departments.

SIR,

Adverting to your letter No. P.1552-9/Pol.39-25-1 calling for certain particulars regarding the working of the Talimkhana rules, I have the honor to state as follows:-

1. The District Magistrate is issuing licenses and no other officer is empowered to grant licenses on this behalf.

2. A register is maintained as per Talimkhana Rules.

3. The towns or places wherein licensed Talimkhanas exist and their number in each place are ~~are~~ shown below.

Name of the place.	No.of licensed Talims.
1. Nanjangud Taluk	1
2. Chamarajanagar Taluk	1
3. Mysore Taluk	2
4. Mysore City.	(28 + 13)=41

41

4. The amount of license fee realised during the years 1922-23, 1923-24, 1924-25 and up-to-date in 1925-26 is detailed below:-

Official year.	Fee realisations.
1922-23	Nil
1923-24	Rs10/-
1924-25	"320/-
1925-26up to this day.	"140/- excluding Rs60/- which are in deposit as the applications in respect of 6 Talim kahnas are under consideration.

From

THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
MYSORE DISTRICT,
MYSORE.

To

THE SECRETARY
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,
Local and Legislative Dept
BANGALORE.

Dated 22-9-25

Despatched 24-9-25

ived

General No. Bride 160 / J 25-26

No.

Enclosure No

Forwarding information
regarding the working
of Talukdars Rules

Two persons were convicted by the City Magistrate and sentenced to pay a fine of Rs50/- each under rule 4 of the Talimkhana Rules on 4-7-1925.

The rules are being enforced since 1924-25, and I am of opinion that no revision of the rules now in force is called for.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For District Magistrate,

Mysore District.

SK.

App.F.VI G1.j

5

B650

SUBJECT

Section Pol

File No. 39-25

Serial No. 3

Diary No. of letter replied to

No. P 2363

To Mr. D.H.

Myan

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the *192*

Sir,

Date of issue 7-11-25

I am directed to refer to your letter No. D-Dis 1607 W.W.9 dated 23.5.25 re: The working of the Tahsildhars Rule, and to say that the amount of fee received in each year in the Myan District is not large enough to justify the number of Tahsildhars and to consider what this

Signed by Lt. C. A. Glancy

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

over

Pol 21002

2042
P 25

No. D.2 Dis. 287/J.25-26.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District,

Dated Mysore, 11th November *192*5.

12 Pol 39-25-4

FROM

A. V. Ramanathan, Esquire, B.A.,
District Magistrate and
Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District,
Mysore.

To

B. Nagappa, Esquire, Bar-at-law,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.
Local & Legislative Departments.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.P.2363 of the 7th instant, enquiring why the amount of fee recovered in the years 1922-23, 1923-24 and 1924-25 under the Talimkhana Rules is not proportinate to the number of Thalimkhanas, considering the number of Talimkhanas in the District, I have the honour to state that the Thalimkhana Rules as amended by G.O.No J.5106/Pol.177-17-1 of the 14th June 1918, though being administered in the several places of the District, the several proprietors did not come forward to obtain licenses in spite of the warnings given by the Police till about November 1924, when the closing of all the Talims in the District which do not take out licenses, was ordered and this had the desired effect on the proprietors. The proprietors thereupon applied for licenses which were granted after scrutiny.

The Rules were therefore brought into stricter operation practically from 1924-25 and hence the difference of income in the years referred to above.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For District Magistrate,
Mysore District.

M.B.R.

From

THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
& District Magistrate
MYSORE DISTRICT,

MYSORE.

To

THE SECRETARY

TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,

Local & Legislative BANGALORE.
Departments.

Dated - 11th November 1925.

Despatched 12

ived

General No. D.2 Dis.287/J.25-25
No.

Enclosure No Nil.

Stating that the realisation from Talukkharcha was disproportionate as the Talukkharcha practically enforced since 1924-25

G.R.S. VI G 31]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to..... 2042

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Police)

the *19*

Date of issue.... 7/12/25

Signed by.....

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette, English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Section Police.

File No. 39–25

Serial No. 5

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Read—

Letter No. D2 Dis 160/25-26 d/ 23rd September 1925 from the D.M., Mysore Dist, furnishing them on certain particulars regarding the working of the Telicherry rules & stating that no change is necessary in the existing rules,

~~Order Thereon~~

2. Correspondence ending with letter No. D2 Dis 287/25-26 d/12th Nov. 1925 from the Range officer explaining the difference in the

amount of fees collected as compared with the number of existing Taluk Kharnas.

Order No. P2835

Recorded with the observation that it is unsatisfactory that proper attention was not being paid to the strict observance of the Taluk Kharna rules. See last year's

For C.R.C.

To The D.C., Mysore Dist.

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

WD 1885—GPB—1,000,00—21-12-21.

23962
Police 39-25-6

2412
P 725

D. M. 2.
No. 2635
Encs. 25-26

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT MAGISTRATE,
KADUR DISTRICT. 10

Dated Chikmagalur, **10th Dec. 1925.**

GENL. & REV. SECTT. G. D. SECTION Bg. 11 DEC. 1925

FROM G.B.Heblikar, Esq., B.A., LL.B.,
DISTRICT MAGISTRATE,
KADUR DISTRICT.

To B.Nagappa, Esq., Bar-at-law,
SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF
HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,
Local and Legislative DEPARTMENT,
BANGALORE.

SIR,

With reference to your No.P.1552-9 Pol.39-25-1 dated 15th September 1925, regarding the working of the Talimkhana Rules now in force, I have the honor to state that the --- District Superintendent of Police reports that there are no Talimkhanas in this District.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

GB Heblikar

District Magistrate,
Kadur District.

K.L.N.

24097

2454 g25
P
11

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
SHIMOGA DISTRICT.

No. J.C. 192/25-26

Shimoga, Dated the ~~12th December~~ 1925.

Pol 39-25



A.K.Syed Taj Peeran, Esquire, B.A.

Deputy Commissioner, + District Magistrate
Shimoga District.

To

B. Nagappa, Esquire, Bar- at- Law,
Secretary to Government,
Local and Legislative Departments,
B A N G A L O R E.

Sir,

With reference to your letter NO. P. 1552-9 Police 39-25-1 dated 15th September 1925 calling for certain information regarding the working of the Talimkhana rules in the District, I have the honour to state that the Rules relating to the control of Talimkhanas have not been extended to this District. The District. Police Suprintendant, Shimoga reports that the Rules may be extended to the ~~majority named~~ marginally noted Towns× in the District for the present and that no modification is necessary in the present rules, except that a reduction in the fee of Rs 10/ appears desirable. This is a Malnad tract and there are very few Talimkhanas and the attendance at such places is generally poor. The fee may be reduced to Rs 2/- for encouraging ~~the~~ Physical Culture, in the state.

+ 1. Shimoga Town.
2. Bhadravati. (Shimoga Taluk)
3. Holehonnur. Do
4. Harnahalli.(Kumsi sub Taluk)
5. Kumsi. Do
6. Chennagiri Town.

P.T.O.

12

7. Honnali Town.

8. Shikarpur Town.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For Deputy Commissioner & District Magistrate
Shimoga District.

ASZ/.

24176

2460
13 P 925

No. J1-147-25
12. 12. 25

Office of the District Magistrate,
Hassan District,
Dated Hassan, the 12th December 25.

From

R. Nanasamy Rao, Esquire.,
District Magistrate,
Hassan District.

Pol 39-25-8

To

B. Nagappa, Esquire., Bar.-at-Law.,
Secretary to Government,
Local and Legislative Departments,
B a n g a l o r e .

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No. P 1552-9 Pol. 39-25-1 ~~dated~~ dated the 15th September 1925, calling for information on certain points regarding the working of the Tali khana rules, and - - enquiring if any modification is necessary in the said rules, I have the honour to state that from the particulars of Talimkhanas received from the District Superintendent of Police, Hassan, it does not appear that the Talimkhana rules of 1918 were ever given effect to in this District, I have now instructed the District Superintendent of Police and the Amildar Magistrates to give effect to these rules.

Until the rules are worked in this District for some time, I feel unable to say as to whether any modification is necessary.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

N Sankaranarayana

Treasury Assistant Commissioner magistrate
for District Magistrate,
Hassan District.
(Absent on tour).

PVG/12-12.

Police 34-25
24942

No. Ba Dis 114 25.26
Encs.

14 P 6

Office of the District Magistrate
Kolar District.

Dated Kolar, the....16th December 192
18

Pol 39-25-1



From S. HIRIANNAIYA, Esq., M.A., B.L.,
District Magistrate, Kolar District.

To B. Nagappa, Esq., Bar-at-Law,
Secretary to Government of Mysore,
Local & Legislative Departments, Bangalore.

Sir,

2460

With reference to your letter No:P.1552-9/Pol.39-25-1 dated 15-9-25, and the subsequent reminder thereon regarding the working of the Talimkhana rules, I have the honor to state that I cannot discover the grounds which would justify the continuance of these rules as the existence of Talimkhanas has not led to undesirable consequences. Whatever might have been the reasons for the original promulgation of these rules, existing conditions do not seem to justify the State regulation of these institutions.

2. There are no licensed Talimkhanas in this District as the rules under the regulation have not been made applicable to this

25771 ~~Police 39-25-1~~ 2621

Office of the District Magistrate,

Tumkur District,

Dated Tumkur, the 23rd December 1925.

From,

K. V. Anantaraman, Esqr: B.A.,

District Magistrate, Tumkur District, T u m k u r.



To,

B. Nagappa, Esqr: Bar-at-Law.,

Secretary to the Government of His Highness the

Maharaja of Mysore, Local and Legislative

Departments, B a n g a l o r e.

S i r,

With reference to your letter no.P.1552-9/Police 39-25-1 dated the 15th September 1925, regarding the working of the Talimkhnana Rules, I have the honour to state there are 5 Talimkhanas in this District - 4 in Tumkur Town and one in Maddagiri. Since the Rules for licensing and regulating Talimkhanas are not in force in this District, no information can be furnished on the points noted in the letter under advertance.

I have the honour to be,

s i r,

your most obedient servant,

District Magistrate,
Tumkur District.

BK.353.

Pol. 39-25-1

2622

17 P 25

Tele. Address :—Dismag, CHITALDRUG.

In reply, please refer to No. CJ. 245 /20-21
Enclosures.

25724

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT MAGISTRATE, CHITALDRUG DISTRICT.

Dated Chitaldrug, 21st December 1925.

23

FROM

S. VENKATRANGAM, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
District Magistrate,
Chitaldrug District.



To

B. Nagappa Esquire Bar-at-Law.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Local and Legislative Departments,
Bangalore.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No.P.1552-9/Pol.39-25-1 dated 15th September 1925 calling for certain information re Talim khanas in this District, I have the honor to state that the rules relating to Talimkhanas were in force only in the town of Davangere in this District. It is reported that there were six Talimkhanas at the place and as none of them had been duly licensed they were got closed by the police in June 1925.

2. As regards Talimkhanas in this District under rule 2 of the Rules for licensing and regulating Talimkhanas it is the District Magistrate who is empowered to grant licenses. The available references on the subject show that the question of licensing the Talimkhanas at Davangere was under correspondence since 15th June 1921 and that the parties concerned would at first applied for such licenses ultimately declined to pay the necessary fees annually and elected to close the Talimkhanas; no register seems therefore to have been opened for the purpose in this office and no fees were received on account of licenses in any of the previous years. As the annual fee of 10 appears to be somewhat high for Talimkhanas in out of the way places like Davangere and with a view to encourage the proper licensing of these institutions I am of opinion that the fee for renewal may be varied in accordance with the importance and circumstances of each station and that it may be fixed at Rs 5/- per year for Davangere.

I have the honor to be,
SIR,
Your most obedient servant,

S. Venkatrangam
Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldrug District.

No. 475 41955 Office of the District Magistrate,
25-26. Bangalore District,
Dated, Bangalore, the 7th April..... 1926.

3970 P 925

From

B. Venkoba Rao, Esqr., B.A.,
District Magistrate,
Bangalore District.

Pol 39-25-10



To

B. Nagappa, Esqr., Bar-at-law,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Local and Legislative Departments,
B a n g a l o r e.

Sir,

In continuation of my letter No.J.C.473 of 25-26 dated 26th January 1926 regarding the working of Talimkhana Rules, I have the honour to forward herewith copy of letter No.H.C. 146 of 25-26 dated 26th March 1926 received from the District Superintendent of Police, Bangalore.

2. It will be seen therefrom that instructions are now issued by the District Superintendent of Police to the Police Inspectors and Assistant Superintendents of Police not to run any Talimkhana without obtaining a license.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For District Magistrate,
Bangalore District.

Letter No.H.C.146/25-26 dated 24th March 1926 from the Superintendent of Police, Bangalore District, to the District Magistrate, Bangalore District.

With reference to your No.J.C.473 dated the 18th September 1925, calling for certain particulars on the working of Talimkhana Rules, I have the honour to state as follows:--

The District Magistrate is empowered under the rules to issue licenses for Talimkhanas and the register prescribed in this behalf is, it is presumed, maintained in the District Magistrate's Office.

The Rules have been extended to the Bangalore City and all the Taluk Head Quarters of the District. It is reported that there is no Talimkhanas in Dodballapur, Nelamangala and Bangalore Taluks. The Inspector of Hoskote and Devanhalli and Anekal Taluks report that there are no licensed Talimkhanas in their Taluks. These Inspectors have been directed to enforce the provisions of the Talimkhana Rules in the case of Talimkhanas existing in their Taluks forthwith.

The Assistant Superintendent of Police, Chennapatna while furnishing a list of Talimkhanas in his division, states that none of these Talimkhanas are working at present and that the proprietors of Talimkhanas have been strictly warned not to run them before obtaining a license.

The Assistant Superintendents of Police, Bangalore City in forwarding the list of Talimkhanas in the City, states, that the proprietor of one of them holds a license dated 11th June 1901 and that the rest hold no license. He has also been requested to enforce forthwith the provisions of the Talimkhana Rules, and to see that none of the Talimkhanas is worked without a proper license.

No fees are reported to have been realized for the last three years in this connection.

" Copy "

For District Magistrate,
BANGALORE DISTRICT.

Proceedings of the Govt. of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

Pol 39-25-11

R e a d--

Govt. Order No.J 5106/Police 177-17-1 dated 14th June 1918 promulgating amended Talimkhana Rules.

.....

O r d e r, No. 547-57 Dated, 21st July 27

The amended Talimkhana Rules, which were approved in the order read above and which are incorporated in Vol.II of the Police Manual, have been so far extended to the Districts of Mysore, Kadur, Hassan, Chitaldrug and Bangalore and even in these districts, there are only forty six licensed talimkhanas, forty five being in the Mysore District and one in Bangalore city It is also reported that there are talimkhanas in Bangalore city which are not licensed. The license fees recovered, which amounted to Rs.320 in 1924-25 dwindled down to about Rs.200 in 1925-26. This indicates that the rules are not very popular with the wrestling public. ~~Nor has any~~ serious effort appears to have been made by the ~~District Magistrates~~ local authorities to encourage and foster the continuance and extension of these useful institutions devoted to physical culture. The question was discussed at the Conference of Dy.Commrs. and Hds.of Depts. in June 1927 and it was then suggested that the fees ~~may~~ might be reduced if necessary ~~and the~~ in order to encourage the establishment of these institutions ~~encouraged~~ and that the rules should be enforced strictly.

Govt. direct that the talimkhana rules should be strictly enforced and in order to encourage these institutions, are pleased to direct that

P. page 86 Vol II Police manual.

K & Q

May this be
1. Printed? yes
2. treated as a Standing order? yes
3. Published in the Gazette English part? yes
4. translated? yes
5. placed at the disposal of the Press? yes
6. Published in the Gazette Kannada part? yes

the license fees may be reduced from Rs.10 to Rs.5 in Bangalore and Mysore Cities, to Rs.3 in Dt Hd Qrs & other important places like Davangere, Chennapatna and Tiptur and to Rs.2 in all other places. and T.P Govt further direct that the rules be extended to all District and taluk Head quarters. The Dist. Magistrates are requested to make references to Government regarding the towns in their districts to which the rules have yet to be extended so ~~xxxx~~ as to enable Government to issue notifications extending the rules to these places. Government trust that the favourable terms now offered will afford ~~the necessary~~ an impetus for the development of these ~~useful~~ institutions.

CBS 14/7.

14/7. Secy.to Govt. Law Dept.,

To

The I/G. of Police.
The Dist.Magrs of Dists.
The ~~#~~ Presidents Bangalore
Mysore City Municipality.

TD.

22

S. O.

Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

G. O. No. P. 547-57—Pol. 39-25-11, dated 21st July 1927.

Talimkhana Rules.

Strict enforcement of, and reduction of fees for licenses under, the ——.

READ—

Government Order No. J. 5106—Police 177-17-1, dated 14th June 1918, promulgating amended Talimkhana Rules.

ORDER NO. P. 547-57—POL. 39-25-11, DATED 21ST JULY 1927.

The amended Talimkhana Rules, which were approved in the order read above and which are incorporated in Vol. II of the Police Manual, have been so far extended to the Districts of Mysore. Kadur, Hassan, Chitaldrug and Bangalore and even in these districts, there are only forty-six licensed talimkhanas, forty-five being in the Mysore District and one in Bangalore City. It is also reported that there are talimkhanas in Bangalore City which are not licensed. The license fee recovered, which amounted to Rs. 320 in 1924-25, dwindled down to about Rs. 200 in 1925-26. This indicates that the rules are not very popular with the wrestling public. No serious effort appears to have been made by the local authorities to encourage and foster the continuance and extension of these useful institutions devoted physical culture. The question was discussed at the Conference of Deputy Commissioners and Heads of Departments in June 1927, and it was then suggested that the fees might be reduced if necessary in order to encourage the establishment of these institutions and that the rules should be enforced strictly.

Government direct that the Talimkhana Rules should be strictly enforced and in order to encourage these institutions, are pleased to direct that the license fees may be reduced from Rs. 10 to Rs. 5 in Bangalore and Mysore Cities, to Rs. 3 in District Head Quarters and other important places like Davangere, Channapatna and Tiptur and to Rs. 2 in all other places.

Government further direct that the rules be extended to all District and Taluk Head Quarters. The District Magistrates are requested to make reference to Government regarding the towns in their districts to which the rules have yet to be extended so as to enable Government to issue notifications extending the rules to these places. Government trust that the favourable terms now offered will afford an impetus for the development of these institutions.

B. NAGAPPA,
Secretary to Government,
Law Department.

To—The Inspector-General of Police.
The District Magistrates of Districts.
The Presidents of Bangalore and Mysore City Municipalities.

Exd.—P. S. R.N.

W D—223—GPB—590—28-7-27.